

Non-examination assessment policy 2019/20

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not 'externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'.

[Definition taken directly from the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, Foreword]

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- ▶ define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

The policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment.

[<u>NEA</u> 1]

What are non-examination assessments?

This is explained in NEA.

Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- · task marking.

[<u>NEA</u> 1]

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles

Head of centre

- Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update to confirm awareness of and that relevant centre staff are adhering to the latest version of NEA
- ▶ Ensures the centre's non-examination assessment policy is fit for purpose
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

Deputy Headteacher

- ► Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with NEA and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- ► Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Subject Directors

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the nonexamination assessment process
- Ensures NEA and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- ▶ Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in MEA
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- ► Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

Exams officer

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

Task setting

Subject teacher

- Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- ▶ Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between

Task taking

Supervision

Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- ► Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- ▶ Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own.
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments and Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates

Advice and feedback

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or outlines/headings specific to the task
- ▶ When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates

- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- ► Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- ► Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- ▶ Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- ► Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

Authentication procedures

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector

▶ Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA and informs a Subject Director.

Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- ▶ Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in NEA unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher

- ▶ When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- ► Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- ▶ Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- ▶ Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for reviews of results or until the outcome of a review or any subsequent appeal has been completed
- ▶ Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means (the JCQ document Information for candidates social media should be brought to the attention of candidates)
- ▶ Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

IT Manager

► Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

Task marking – externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

- ▶ Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations

Submission of work

Subject teacher

Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

Exams officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work and uses exam board packaging – making sure securely fastened
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking - internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Head of centre

Ensures where a teacher teaches his/her own child, a conflict of interest is declared to the awarding body and the marked work of the child submitted for moderation, whether it is part of the moderation sample or not

Deputy Headteacher / Directors of Learning

Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

- Attends awarding body training as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process

Ensures candidates are informed to the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the centre's internal appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- ► Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by
 - · obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
 - holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
 - carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
 - after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
 - making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
 - retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- ▶ Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Submission of marks and work for moderation

Directors of Learning / Exam Officer

- ▶ Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- ▶ Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- ▶ Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Exams officer

Mark amendments - Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks submitted, to the external

- deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- ► Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- ▶ Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

Exams officer

Ensures any sample returned after moderation is kept in secure storage for the required retention period.

External moderation - the process

Subject teacher

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- ▶ Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

External moderation - feedback

Deputy Headteacher / Head of Centre

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

- Accesses moderator reports to relevant staff
- ► Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements

Subject teacher

Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments in relation to non-examination assessments including Reasonable Adjustments for GCE A-level sciences Endorsement of practical skills
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- ► Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special consideration and loss of work

Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

Exams officer

- ▶ Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication A quide to the special consideration process
 - Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
 - Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
 - Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- ▶ Refers to/directs relevant staff to Form 15 JCQ/LCW and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body

Malpractice

Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- ► Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments</u>: <u>Policies and Procedures</u>
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

Subject teacher

- ► Is aware of the JCQ Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- ► Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates non-examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates Social Media
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

Exams officer

- ► Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and</u>
 Procedures to the head of centre
- ► Signposts the JCQ Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to subject heads
- ▶ Signposts candidates to the relevant Jt information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

Post-results services

Head of centre

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Post-Results Services
- ► Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal

Deputy Headteacher / Directors of Learning

 Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- ▶ Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline
- Supports the exams officer in collecting candidate consent where required

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication Post-Results Services (Information and guidance to centres...)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- Collects candidate consent where required

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of centre

Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Directors of Learning

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- ► Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- ► Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- ► Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

 Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings

Qualification/Subject specific additional information

This section provides additional information/procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments in specific subjects of qualifications.

GCSE – Computer Science

2019/20, grades will be based on exam performance alone – the programming project task does not contribute to the grade but must still be undertaken by candidates.

- ▶ Director of Learning will ensure that candidates are given 20 hours of classroom time to complete the task set by the awarding body.
- ▶ Subject teacher will ensure that samples of candidates work and all relevant paperwork are provided to the EO to be sent to the awarding body for monitoring purposes to the internal deadline.

GCSE - Art & Design

- ▶ Director of Learning will ensure that candidates are given 10 hours of classroom time to complete the task set by the awarding body.
- Subject teacher will ensure that samples of candidates work and all relevant paperwork are available for the visiting moderator of the awarding body by the deadline.

Management of issues and potential risks associated with nonexamination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	EO / IT SUPPORT
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	Director of Learning
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	Director of Learning
Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	Director of Learning / Senior Director
Issuing of tasks		
Task for legacy specification given to candidates undertaking new specification	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish between requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and requirements/tasks for new specifications Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Director of Learning
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	Director of Learning
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Director of Learning Exam Officer
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	Director of Learning
A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample which will be recorded Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of candidates for the	Deputy Headteacher Exam Officer
as a presentation that may be recorded Task taking	monitoring sample	Exam omoor
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	Director of Learning to liaise with Deputy Headteacher
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course	Exam Officer / Director of

	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	Learning / IT support
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates	Director of Learning
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	Exam Officer
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section	Head of Centre
their work for assessment	9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Head of Centre / EO
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	
ior arrangements	Advice and feedback	
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	Directors of Learning
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work	Subject Teacher
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage	Director of Learning / Subject Teacher
A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	Head of Centre
Candidate does not reference	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information	Director of
information from published source	before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued	Learning Exam Officer
Candidate does not set out references as required	completion Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	Subject Teacher

	Condidate a detailed record of his /hou are	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	Director of Learning
Candidate moves to another	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be	Exam Officer
centre during the course	done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	D Ott.
An excluded pupil wants to complete his/her non-	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream	Exam Officer
examination assessment(s)	education If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking	Subject
	are made separately for the candidate	Teacher
	Resources	
A candidate augments notes	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in	Subject
and resources between	and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	Teacher
formally supervised sessions	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected	
	in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	IT Support
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for	
A candidate fails to	candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	Subject
acknowledge sources on work	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used,	Teacher /
that is submitted for	including books, websites and audio/visual resources	Director of
assessment	Awarding books, websites and audio/visual resources Awarding books, websites and audio/visual resources	Learning
	candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records	
	acknowledges sources appropriately	
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records,	
	awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is	
	submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
	Word and time limits	
A candidate is penalised by the	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	Director of
awarding body for exceeding	checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory	Learning
word or time limits	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged	
	from exceeding them	
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on	
	word or time limits is known and understood	
Q 1:1 (1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Collaboration and group work	D: (f
Candidates have worked in	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	Director of
groups where the awarding body specification states this is	checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains	Learning
not permitted	unresolved	
not pornuted	Authentication procedures	<u> </u>
A teacher has doubts about the	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ	EO to email
authenticity of the work	document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates'	doc at start of
submitted by a candidate for	work	new term
internal assessment	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	
	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
Candidate plagiarises other	examination assessments	Director of
material	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need	Learning
	to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination	3
	assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment	
Condidate dans not sisse that	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not sign their authentication	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	
authentication statement/declaration	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non- examination assessments	
statement/decidfation		
	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document	
	Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	Subject
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of	Teacher
	a candidate for formal assessment	
		I .

Subject teacher not available to	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to	Director of
sign authentication forms	sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work	Learning
	as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	
	Presentation of work	
Candidate does not fully	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before	Subject
complete the awarding body's	accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	Teacher
cover sheet that is attached to		
their worked submitted for		
formal assessment	Vaccing materials secure	
Candidates work between	Keeping materials secure Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current	ICQ Docs to
formal supervised sessions is	JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	staff at start of
not securely stored	assessments	term by
not becarely stored	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of	Directors of
	appropriate secure storage	Learning
Adequate secure storage not	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available	Exam Officer to
available to subject teacher	to subject teacher prior to the start of the course	remind all staff
	Alternative secure storage sourced where required	at start of term
Task marking – externally ass		
A candidate is absent on the	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative	Director of
day of the examiner visit for an	assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate	Learning
acceptable reason	If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a	Exam Officer
A candidate is absent on the	request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	Subject
	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Subject Teacher
day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason		reacher
Task marking – internally asse	essed components	
A candidate submits little or no	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as	Subject
work	absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body	Teacher
	Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is	
	assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated	
	appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the	
	assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding	
	body	
A candidate is unable to finish	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	Exam Officer
their work for unforeseen	special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility	
reason The work of a candidate is lost	and the process to be followed for shortfall in work Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Instructions	Exam Officer to
or damaged	for conducting non-examination assessments (section 8), to	complete
or damaged	determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or	relevant
	damaged work	paperwork
Candidate malpractice is	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication	Director of
discovered	Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section	Learning /
	9 Malpractice) are followed	Senior Director
	Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ	/ Head of
	publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and	Centre
	Assessments are followed	
A teacher marks the work of	Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	Exam Officer
his/her own child	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the	to send conflict
1113/ 11G1 OWII CIIIIU	course	of interest
	Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether	31 111101031
	part of the sample requested or not	
An extension to the deadline	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be	Exam Officer
for submission of marks is	granted	
required for a legitimate	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	
reason	special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility	
	and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment	
	extension	
After submission of marks, it is	Awarding body is contacted for guidance	Exam Officer
discovered that the wrong task	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	
was given to candidates	special consideration process (section 2), to determine eligibility	
	and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration	
	for candidates	

A candidate wishes to	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded	Subject
appeal/request a review of the	for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding	Teacher
marks awarded for their work	body	Teacher
by their teacher	Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks	
by their teacher	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change	
	through the awarding body's moderation process	
	Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified	
	in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the	
	internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of	
	marks	
	Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made	
	aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale	
	for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's	
	marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	
Deadline for submitting work	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates	Subject
for formal assessment not met	at the start of the course	Teacher
by candidate	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood	10001101
	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance	T 0.00
	sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking	Exam Officer
	providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can	
	be met	
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will	
	be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the	
	awarding body for the candidate	
Deadline for submitting marks	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each	Exam Officer
and samples of candidates	academic year	sends out
work ignored by subject	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as	schedule in
teacher	deadlines approach	timely manner
	Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject	
	teachers	
	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended	Director of
absence during the marking	absence at key points in the exam cycle)	Learning /
period		Senior Director

November 2019